



ASSAM ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORDER SHEET

FILE NO. AERC 769/2020/Pt I

PETITION NO: 03/2021

**CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI K.S. KRISHNA, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE SHRI S.N. KALITA, MEMBER
HON'BLE SMTI B. BORTHAKUR, MEMBER**

In the matter of

Review Petition No.03/2021 for Review of AEGCL's Tariff Order dated February 15, 2021 regarding Truing up for FY 2019-20, Annual Performance Review for FY 2020-21 and Revised Annual Revenue Requirement and Tariff for FY 2021-22

AND

In the matter of

Assam Electricity Grid Corporation Ltd. (AEGCL)

----- Petitioner

ORDER
02.07.2021

1. The Assam Electricity Grid Corporation Ltd. (herein after referred as "the Petitioner" or "AEGCL") has filed a Petition before the Commission on April 08, 2021 for review of its Tariff Order dated February 15, 2021 regarding the Truing up for FY 2019-20, Annual Performance Review for FY 2020-21 and Revised Annual Revenue Requirement and Tariff for FY 2021-22.
2. The Petitioner in its Petition dated 8th April, 2021 has raised certain issues for reconsideration of the aforesaid Order, including computation of Depreciation for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22, consideration of Expenses towards training and capacity building and Prior Period expenses/(income) for FY 2019-20, Interest and Finance Charges for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22, and Interest on Working Capital for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22.
3. Before entering into the merit of the grounds set forth in the Review Petition, the relevant provisions of the Regulations as regards review jurisdiction of the Commission are to be looked into.
4. The AERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004 specifies as under:
"34. Review of the decisions, directions and orders: -
(1) Any person aggrieved by a decision or order of the Commission, from which no appeal is preferred or allowed, and who, from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the decision/order was passed by the Commission or on account of some mistake or error apparent from the face of record, or for any other sufficient reason, may apply for review of such order within 60 days of the date of decision/order of the Commission.
(2) An application for review shall be filed in the same manner as a petition under Chapter II of these regulations.
(3) When it appears to the Commission that there is no sufficient ground for review, the Commission shall reject such review application.
(4) The application for review shall be accompanied by such fee as may be specified by Commission." (emphasis added)

5. The Petitioner filed the Review Petition on April 08, 2021, which is within the specified time of 60 days from the date of issue of the Order in Petition No. 26 of 2020, i.e., February 15, 2021. Hence, the Petition is admissible under the relevant provisions of AERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004.
6. The issue-wise submissions of the Petitioner and Commission's ruling are discussed in the following paragraphs:
7. **Issue 1 - Depreciation – Computation of Depreciation for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22**

AEGCL's Submission

The Petitioner has objected to the computation of Depreciation for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 and prayed to allow depreciation based on the submission made by AEGCL. The Petitioner has made the following submissions:

FY 2019-20

- i. The Commission has approved capitalization of Rs. 420.48 Crore as submitted by the Petitioner.
- ii. AEGCL submitted that the detailed computation of approved depreciation of Rs. 84.41 Crore was not provided by the Commission in its Tariff Order. AEGCL has provided the detailed computation of depreciation for FY 2019-20 vide TVS data gap submission dated 05.01.2021.
- iii. AEGCL has computed the "Grants towards GFA" based on the formula described in the Tariff Order dated 19th Mar 2018 for True Up of FY 2016-17 and Review Order dated 25th June 2019 for True Up of FY 2017-18.
- iv. AEGCL submitted that it has computed grant towards GFA as Rs. 1184 Crore and gross fixed assets excluding land as Rs. 1934.96 crore. Thus, the computation on depreciation of Grants / Consumer Contribution should be Rs. 51.65 Crore rather than Rs. 57.63 Crore. Net Depreciation shall hence, be Rs. 32.76 Crore instead of Rs. 26.78 Crore.
- v. Computation of the depreciation allowed in the ARR is not synced with amount of depreciation towards assets funded through Grants. AEGCL has written to the Commission for the calculation of approved amount of Depreciation vide letter dated 24th February 2021.
- vi. AEGCL requested the Commission to review the calculation of grants towards GFA and depreciation for FY 2019-20.

FY 2020-21

- i. The Commission has approved the amounts of opening GFA and capitalisation for FY 2020-21 in line with the submission of AEGCL. Thus, the computation on depreciation of Grants / Consumer Contribution should be Rs. 57.22 Crore rather than Rs. 62.54 Crore, and the amount approved as net depreciation shall be Rs. 40.89 Crore rather than Rs. 35.57 Crore.
- ii. AEGCL requested the Commission to review the amount of Grants towards GFA and depreciation amount approved by the Commission, as the depreciation rate and depreciation on grants considered by AEGCL were in line with the approach adopted

by the Commission.

FY 2021-22

- i. The Commission approved opening GFA equal to closing GFA of FY 2020-21. Capitalisation considered was Rs. 682.73 Crore against the claim of Rs. 1073.92 Crore.
- ii. Grants/Consumer Contribution was considered as Rs.605.27 Crore against the claim of AEGCL of Rs. 952.08 Crore.
- iii. AEGCL submitted that the explanation given in the Tariff Order for computation of depreciation is not sufficient. As per AEGCL, after considering the approved capitalisation of Rs. 682.73 Crore, the depreciation works out to Rs. 144.94 Crore instead of Rs. 118.72 Crore.
- iv. As per AEGCL, grant towards GFA is Rs. 1,459.95 Crore. Therefore, considering the capitalization of Rs. 682.73 Crore as approved by the Commission in the Tariff Order, depreciation towards assets funded through grant shall be Rs. 85.70 Crore and net depreciation shall be Rs. 59.23 Crore considering total Depreciation of Rs. 144.94 Crore.
- v. As per AEGCL, in the same manner if total Depreciation is considered Rs. 118.72 Crore as approved by the Commission, then depreciation towards assets funded through grant shall be Rs. 70.20 Crore instead of Rs. 82.75 Crore and net depreciation shall be Rs. 48.52 Crore instead of Rs. 35.57 Crore.
- vi. AEGCL requested the Commission to review the calculation of grants towards GFA and depreciation for FY 2021-22 and revise the calculation wherever required.

Commission's View

The Commission has studied the submissions made by AEGCL as regards the computation of depreciation on grant funded assets for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21, and FY 2021-22.

The approach adopted by the Commission in the Tariff Order for AEGCL is reproduced below:

- 4.6.2 *The Commission has considered the opening GFA for FY 2019-20 as per the closing GFA value approved in True up of FY 2018-19 vide the Tariff Order dated March 7, 2020. The Commission has computed depreciation as per scheduled rates specified in the MYT Regulations, 2018.*
- 4.6.3 *As per Regulation 32 of the MYT Regulations, 2018, the total depreciation during the life of the asset shall not exceed 90% of the original cost of the asset. The Commission has computed the depreciation separately for assets added under each asset head in each year. The Commission has disallowed the depreciation in excess of 90% of the original cost of asset under different asset heads.*
- 4.6.4 *In line with the approach adopted in the previous Orders and as specified in Regulation 32 of the MYT Regulations, 2018, the Commission has not considered the depreciation on assets funded through grants, consumer contribution or capital subsidy, for FY 2019-20."*

The Commission has considered the Opening Grant towards GFA as the approved Closing Grant towards GFA of FY 2018-19, i.e., Rs. 1330.23 Crore. Closing Grant towards GFA for FY 2019-20 has been computed as Rs. 1598.89 Crore by adding the grant part of Capitalization to the Opening Grant towards GFA (i.e., Rs. 1330.23 Crore + Rs. 268.66 Crore). The average of Opening Grant towards GFA and Closing Grant towards GFA and Average of Opening GFA excluding Land and Closing GFA excluding land in FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 has been considered for calculating the Grant Towards GFA.

The Commission observes that AEGCL has considered only the opening grant towards GFA rather than the average grant towards GFA, after considering the grant addition during the year. The depreciation on average grants has been computed by applying the average depreciation rate computed on the total assets.

The computation of opening, closing and average grants towards GFA addition for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21, FY 2021-22, and the net depreciation is given in the Table below:

Table 1: Computation of Net Depreciation (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	True-Up	APR	Revised ARR
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22
Land & Rights	-	-	-
Building	1.00	1.21	1.41
Hydraulic	-	-	-
Other Civil Works	3.26	3.81	4.43
Plant & Machinery	51.50	55.91	68.66
Lines & Cable Net work	28.23	36.54	43.34
Vehicles	0.07	0.15	0.22
Furniture & Fixtures	0.18	0.24	0.31
Office Equipment	0.17	0.26	0.35
Depreciation	84.41	98.11	118.72
Opening GFA excluding land	1934.95	2355.30	2775.65
Closing GFA excluding land	2355.30	2775.65	2889.30
Avg. Depreciation Rate	3.93%	3.82%	4.19%
Opening Grant towards GFA	1,330.23	1,598.89	1,671.66
Closing Grant towards GFA	1,598.89	1,671.66	2,276.93
Avg. Grant towards GFA	1,464.56	1,635.28	1,974.29
Depreciation on grants	57.63	62.54	82.75
Net Depreciation	26.78	35.57	35.97

Further, AEGCL's contention that it has computed the "Grants towards GFA" in the Review Petition based on the formula described in the Review Order dated 25th June 2019 for True Up of FY 2017-18, is incorrect. The above-said Review Order was only an entirely different issue and depreciation on assets as well as on grant funding of assets is always computed on the average assets as well as average grant funding of assets.

Thus, there is no error apparent in the computation of depreciation in the impugned Order. Hence, the review is not admissible on this issue.

8. Issue 2 – Net Prior Period Expenses / Income for FY 2019-20

The Petitioner has made the following submissions:

- i. The Commission has approved Net Prior Period Income of Rs. 3.79 Crore after Truing Up for FY 2019-20.
- ii. AEGCL submitted that there is an error apparent in Computation of the Net prior Period Expenses/Income for FY 2019-20 as shown below:

Table 2: Net Prior Period Expenses / Income for FY 2019-20 (Rs. Crore)

Sl. No.	Particulars	AEGCL's Submission for FY 2019-20	Approved after Truing up for FY 2019-20	Revised Submission for FY 2019-20
	Prior Period Expenses			
1	Employee Costs relating to prior period	0.05	0.03	0.03
2	Interest and Finance Charges relating to Prior period	8.39	0.00	0.00
3	Other Expenses relating to prior period	2.36	0.11	0.28
A	Journalization for ROP arrear pay	0.44	0.44	0.44
B	Capitalization of assets wrongly recorded as R&M Expenses now booked as Plant & Equipment	-0.03	-0.02	-0.02
C	Capitalization of assets wrongly recorded as R&M Expenses now booked as Other Civil Works	-0.01	0.00	0.00
D	The rectification entry passed to rectify wrong booking under R&M, which is now capitalized and booked as asset.	-0.08	-0.05	-0.05
E	The rectification entry passed for recording O&M Expenses wrongly	0.02	0.02	0.02
F	Reversal of R&M expenses for the portion of return of 04 nos. of 220 kV CVT & 01 no. of 245 kV CVT	-0.17	-0.11	-0.11
G	Reversal of R&M expenses (booked in earlier FYs) for the portion of O&M Materials return by substation maintenance Samaguri	-0.19	-0.13	-0.13
H	Amount journalized for booking of asset for the rectification entry against R&M works	-0.08	-0.05	-0.05
I	Rectification entry for wrongly passed Swach Bharat Cess & Krishi Kalyan Cess	0.02	0.02	0.02
J	Journalization for omission of expenses	0.24	0.16	0.16

Sl. No.	Particulars	AEGCL's Submission for FY 2019-20	Approved after Truing up for FY 2019-20	Revised Submission for FY 2019-20
	w.r.t. booking of properties/land tax of GMC			
K	Amount paid against final settlement of ECI Engg Constn Company Limited vide Arbitral Tribunal Award	1.05	0.00	0.00
L	Provision for unserviceable and obsolete material stock for the FY 2018-19 which was omitted to be recorded in FY 2018-19	1.14	0.00	0.00
	Sub-total	10.80	0.14	0.31
	Prior Period Income			
3	Cancellation of the bill of OK Enterprise earlier passed for gravelling of the switchyard of Kahilipara - earlier passed in 27/12/2017 subsequently rectified in Jan, 19 and April 2019	0.18	0.18	0.18
4	R&M previously wrongly debited, now rectified and booked as asset as per internal audit observation vide v/no=18-20 dt. 24-04-2019	0.38	0.26	0.26
5	Rectification Journal Entries for foundation & Erection	0.03	0.03	0.03
6	Rental for occupying land from various parties	0.02	0.02	0.02
7	Receivable against Quarter rent for Army from DC Tinsukia	0.29	0.29	0.29
8	Battery bank purchased & installed wrongly journalised now rectified	0.08	0.08	0.08
9	Rectification entry for wrongly passed of Asset as R&M (Tally purchased & installed)	0.05	0.03	0.03
10	Amount received from Vista Print during the financial year 2018-19	0.01	0.01	0.01
11	Dividend received for the FY:18-19 from North Eastern Transmission Company Ltd.	2.67	0.89	0.89
12	Short provision for accrued Interest on FDs and short Accounting of TDS deducted & deposited in the name of AEGCL for FY 2018-19	2.20	2.20	2.20
13	Other bank charges relating to FY 18-19	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
14	Rectification entry to credit the amt. to appropriate head	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03

Sl. No.	Particulars	AEGCL's Submission for FY 2019-20	Approved after Truing up for FY 2019-20	Revised Submission for FY 2019-20
15	The reversal provision for unserviceable & obsolete materials stock made during FY2017-18 which was not done during FY 2018-19	2.37	0.00	0.00
16	The accounting of refund (interest portion) paid by the income tax authority during AY 2017-18 which was not done during FY-2016-17, audit for the FY 2018-19)	0.06	0.06	0.06
17	The transfer of Sundry Debit Balances written off to prior period income, as all these balances are related to prior period)	0.07	0.07	0.07
18	Other Excess Provision in Prior Periods	0.06	0.00	0.00
19	Sub-total	8.43	4.08	4.08
	Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)	2.36	(3.79)	(3.77)

- iii. AEGCL has requested the Commission to revise the Net Prior Period Income for FY 2019-20 under True Up exercise to Rs. 3.77 Crore.

Commission's View

The Commission has verified the computations done in the impugned Order and finds that there is a typographical error in Sl. No. 3 – “Other Expenses relating to prior period”, which should have been mentioned as Rs. 0.26 Crore rather than Rs. 0.11 Crore. However, there is no material impact, as the net amount of Prior Period Income has been correctly considered as Rs. 3.79 crore (Rs. 4.08 Crore – Rs. 0.29 Crore) in the impugned Order.

The correct values of approved Net Prior Period Expense/Income are given in the Table below:

Table 3: Revised Net Prior Period Expenses / Income for FY 2019-20 (Rs. Crore)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved after Truing up for FY 2019-20	Revised Submission for FY 2019-20	Approved after Review for FY 2019-20
	Prior Period Expenses			
1	Employee Costs relating to prior period	0.03	0.03	0.03
2	Interest and Finance Charges relating to Prior period	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	Other Expenses relating to prior period	0.11	0.28	0.26
A	Journalization for ROP arrear pay	0.44	0.44	0.44

Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved after Truing up for FY 2019-20	Revised Submission for FY 2019-20	Approved after Review for FY 2019-20
B	Capitalization of assets wrongly recorded as R&M Expenses now booked as Plant & Equipment	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
C	Capitalization of assets wrongly recorded as R&M Expenses now booked as Other Civil Works	0.00	0.00	0.00
D	The rectification entry passed to rectify wrong booking under R&M, which is now capitalized and booked as asset.	-0.05	-0.05	-0.05
E	The rectification entry passed for recording O&M Expenses wrongly	0.02	0.02	0.02
F	Reversal of R&M expenses for the portion of return of 04 nos. of 220 kV CVT & 01 no. of 245 kV CVT	-0.11	-0.11	-0.11
G	Reversal of R&M expenses (booked in earlier FYs) for the portion of O&M Materials return by substation maintenance Samaguri	-0.13	-0.13	-0.13
H	Amount journalized for booking of asset for the rectification entry against R&M works	-0.05	-0.05	-0.05
I	Rectification entry for wrongly passed Swach Bharat Cess & Krishi Kalyan Cess	0.02	0.02	0.02
J	Journalization for omission of expenses w.r.t. booking of properties/land tax of GMC	0.16	0.16	0.16
K	Amount paid against final settlement of ECI Engg Constn Company Limited vide Arbitral Tribunal Award	0.00	0.00	0.00
L	Provision for unserviceable and obsolete material stock for the FY 2018-19 which was omitted to be recorded in FY 2018-19	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Sub-total	0.14	0.31	0.29
	Prior Period Income			
3	Cancellation of the bill of OK Enterprise earlier passed for gravelling of the switchyard of Kahilipara - earlier passed in 27/12/2017 subsequently rectified in Jan, 19 and April 2019	0.18	0.18	0.18
4	R&M previously wrongly debited, now rectified and booked as asset as per internal audit observation vide v/no=18-20 dt. 24-04-2019	0.26	0.26	0.26
5	Rectification Journal Entries for foundation & Erection	0.03	0.03	0.03

Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved after Truing up for FY 2019-20	Revised Submission for FY 2019-20	Approved after Review for FY 2019-20
6	Rental for occupying land from various parties	0.02	0.02	0.02
7	Receivable against Quarter rent for Army from DC Tinsukia	0.29	0.29	0.29
8	Battery bank purchased & installed wrongly journalised now rectified	0.08	0.08	0.08
9	Rectification entry for wrongly passed of Asset as R&M (Tally purchased & installed)	0.03	0.03	0.03
10	Amount received from Vista Print during the financial year 2018-19	0.01	0.01	0.01
11	Dividend received for the FY:18-19 from North Eastern Transmission Company Ltd.	0.89	0.89	0.89
12	Short provision for accrued Interest on FDs and short Accounting of TDS deducted & deposited in the name of AEGCL for FY 2018-19	2.20	2.20	2.20
13	other bank charges relating to FY 18-19	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
14	Rectification entry to credit the amt. to appropriate head	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03
15	The reversal provision for unserviceable & obsolete materials stock made during FY2017-18 which was not done during FY 2018-19	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	The accounting of refund (interest portion) paid by the income tax authority during AY 2017-18 which was not done during FY-2016-17, audit for the FY 2018-19)	0.06	0.06	0.06
17	The transfer of Sundry Debit Balances written off to prior period income, as all these balances are related to prior period)	0.07	0.07	0.07
18	Other Excess Provision in Prior Periods	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	Sub-total	4.08	4.08	4.08
	Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)	(3.79)	(3.77)	(3.79)

Hence, though the Review is admissible on account of this typographical error, there is no material impact of the same.

9. Issue 3 – Expenses towards Training and Capacity Building for FY 2019-20

The Petitioner has made the following submissions:

- The Commission has approved Rs. 30 Lakh as per the MYT Tariff Order dated 1st March 2019 against the actual expenditure of Rs. 39 lakh as per the Audited Annual Account for FY 2019-20.

- ii. The loss of Rs. 9 lakh towards training expenses will restrict AEGCL from further higher expenditure against training and capacity building in upcoming years. Rigorous training is carried out at regular intervals at different levels, which is effective and necessary for employees. Therefore, AEGCL has requested the Commission to allow the additional training expenses of Rs. 9 lakh under actual A&G Expenses and consider the same under sharing of gain/loss mechanism for O&M Expenses as per AERC MYT Regulations, 2018.
- iii. The Commission has approved Sharing of gains/(losses) for O&M expenses for FY 2019-20 as shown in the below table:

Table 4: Sharing of Gains/(Losses) for O&M Expenses for FY 2019-20 (Rs. Crore)

S. No	Particulars	Actual	Normative	Gain/(Loss)	Gains/(Losses) to be shared with APDCL
		a	b	c=b-a	d=c*1/3
1	Employee Cost	152.59	166.38	13.79	
2	Less: Terminal Liabilities	25.06	25.06	-	
	Less: ROP Arrears	2.91	2.91	-	
3	Employee Cost excl. Terminal Liabilities	124.62	138.42	13.79	4.60
4	R&M Expenses	17.14	17.68	0.54	0.18
5	A&G Expenses	9.47	9.68	0.21	0.07
6	Total (A)	151.23	165.78	14.55	4.85

- iv. However, considering the sharing of additional training expenses of Rs. 9 lakh under sharing of gains/(losses) for O&M Expenses for FY 2019-20, the revised computation is shown below:

Table 5: Revised Submission of AEGCL for Sharing of Gains/(Losses) for O&M Expenses for FY 2019-20 (Rs. Crore)

S. No	Particulars	Actual	Normative	Gain/(Loss)	Gains/(Losses) to be shared with APDCL
		a	b	c=b-a	d=c*1/3
1	Employee Cost	152.60	166.38	13.78	
2	Less: Terminal Liabilities	25.06	25.06	-	
	Less: ROP Arrears	2.91	2.91	-	
3	Employee Cost excl. Terminal Liabilities	124.63	138.42	13.79	4.60
4	R&M Expenses	17.15	17.68	0.53	0.18
5	A&G Expenses	9.56	9.68	0.12	0.04
6	Total (A)	151.34	165.78	14.44	4.82

Commission's View

The Commission vide Tariff Order dated February 15, 2021, has ruled as under as regards Training and Capacity Building:

“4.4.15 As regards the Training Expenses, the Commission in the MYT Order dated March 1, 2019 had approved additional amount of Rs. 30 Lakh as training expenses for AEGCL for FY 2019-20 and considers this amount in the true up for FY 2019-20. Balance requirement for training expenses should be met from the normative O&M expenses approved by the Commission.”

As stated above, the Commission has allowed the additional training expenses of Rs. 30 Lakh over and above normative O&M expenses and directed AEGCL to meet the remaining requirement from existing O&M Expenses approved in True Up of FY 2019-20. The Commission approved Rs. 165.78 Crore as normative O&M Expenses and Actual O&M Expenses as Rs. 151.23 Crore. The total efficiency gains have been allowed as Rs. 14.55 crore, and only Rs. 4.85 Crore has been passed on to APDCL. AEGCL has thus, been allowed a gain of Rs. 9.70 Crore (Rs. 14.55 Crore - Rs. 4.85 crore).

Hence, AEGCL can easily meet the additional expense of Rs. 9 lakh from the gain of Rs. 9.70 Crore allowed in the impugned Order, and AEGCL's contention that the loss of Rs. 9 lakh towards training expenses will restrict AGECL from further higher expenditure against training and capacity building in upcoming years is improper. AEGCL is expected to incur training expenses from the normative O&M expenses also, and the Commission has only allowed certain additional amounts towards training.

Thus, there is no error apparent in the computation of sharing of gains and losses on account of O&M expenses in the impugned Order. Hence, the review is not admissible on this issue.

10. Issue 4 – Interest and Finance Charges for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22

The Petitioner has requested the Commission to re-compute the Interest and Finance Charges for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 by considering the revised amount of depreciation as sought in the Review Petition.

Commission's View

AEGCL's submission regarding revision in interest computation is based on the revised amount of depreciation claimed in the Review Petition. However, the Commission has already ruled that there is no error apparent in the computation of Depreciation for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22.

Thus, there is no error apparent in the computation of interest. Hence, the Review is not admissible on this issue.

11. Issue 7 – Interest on Working Capital for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22

AEGCL has requested the Commission to re-compute the Interest on Working Capital (IoWC) for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 by considering the revised amount of depreciation, Net Prior Period Expenses, Expenses towards Training and Capacity Building, and Interest and Finance Charges, as sought in the Review Petition.

Commission's View

AEGCL's submission regarding revision in IoWC is based on the revised amount of depreciation, Net Prior Period Expenses, Expenses towards Training and Capacity Building and Interest and Finance Charges claimed in the Review Petition. However, the Commission has already ruled that there is no error apparent in the computation of Depreciation, Net Prior Period Expenses, Expenses towards Training and Capacity Building and Interest and Finance Charges for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22.

Thus, there is no error apparent in the computation of IoWC. Hence, the Review is not admissible on this issue.

12. Impact of Review Order

As discussed in the above sections of this Order, there is no impact on the ARR and Revenue Gap/(Surplus) for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22, on account of the issues raised by AEGCL.

With the above observations and decisions on the issue submitted for review, the Review Petition filed by the Petitioner stands disposed of.

s/d

(S.N. Kalita)
Member, AERC

s/d

(B. Borthakur)
Member, AERC

s/d

(K. S. Krishna)
Chairperson, AERC